



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/918,573	08/01/2001		Cary Lee Bates	ROC920010153US1-IBM 211	3523	
7590 05/24/2005			EXAMI	EXAMINER		
Robert H. Berdo, Jr. RABIN & BERDO, P.C.				MITCHELL, JASON D		
Suite 500				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1101 14th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005				2193		
				DATE MAILED: 05/24/2005	DATE MAILED: 05/24/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/918,573	BATES ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jason Mitchell	2193					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appo Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply 1f NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the inailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Fe	<u>bruary 0121</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) 6-15 is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers	•						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 August 2001</u> is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊟ objected t	o by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	-	ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Proffsporson's Patent Proving Review (RTO 048)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)         Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/15/04.     </li> </ol>		atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/918,573

Art Unit: 2193

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is in response to the response filed on 12/2/04

As per Applicant's request, claim 11 has been amended, and no claims are canceled.

Claims 1-15 are pending in this application.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see pg. 9, par 1 and 3, filed 12/2/05, with respect to the 102(b) rejection of claims 1-15 over Wahbe have been fully considered and are persuasive.

The 102(b) rejection of claims 1-15 has been withdrawn.

### Claim Objections

Applicant's arguments/amendments were sufficient to overcome the objection to claims 1-5 and 11-15. Consequently the objection has been withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claim 1 recites a program debugger, with means for extracting and removing induction rates and IV-breakpoints but does not tie the claim to a tangible media. Therefore the claim is directed to software, per se, and is

Application/Control Number: 09/918,573 Page 3

Art Unit: 2193

consequently rejected as not being tangible. Claims 2-5 which depend from claim 1 do not fix this deficiency.

## Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 6-15 are allowed.

#### Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance

- 3. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:
- 4. The cited prior art taken alone or in combination fail to teach, in combination with the other claimed limitations, 'extracting, from program code within the loop, the induction rate; extracting, from the IV-breakpoint, a final value for which the IV-breakpoint may be satisfied; and removing the IV-breakpoint, if the IV-breakpoint is satisfied and the induction variable has a present value that would be beyond the final value upon a next iteration of the loop based on the induction rate' as recited in independent claims 1, 6 and 11.
- 5. The closest prior art, Wahbe, teaches 'extracting, from program code within the loop, the induction rate; extracting, and from the IV-breakpoint, a final value for which the IV-Breakpoint may be satisfied, but fails to teach 'removing the IV-breakpoint, if the IV-breakpoint is satisfied and the induction variable has a present value that would be beyond the final value upon a next iteration of the loop based on the induction rate' as argued by Applicant on pg. 9 of the response filed 12/2/05.

#### Conclusion

Art Unit: 2193

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Mitchell whose telephone number is (571) 272-3728. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki can be reached on (571) 272-3719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jason Mitchell

5/16/05

AMIL KHATRI
DRIMARY EXAMINER